

Subject: Pipeline Across Long Island Sound
Resent-From: Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov
Date: Sat, 15 Feb 2003 15:34:12 -0500
From: Ginny Shaw <ginnyshaw@attbi.com>
To: IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

Issues of public safety, open space, property values, wetlands and the integrity of Long Island Sound's waters all raise grave questions about this proposed pipeline. Please support the ruling by Connecticut's Department of Environmental Protection and help us protect our water quality, shellfish beds and tidal wetlands forward to your public hearing here on this issue.

Virginia Shaw
33 Queach Road
Branford, CT 06405

Subject: Pipeline

Resent-From: Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Fri, 14 Feb 2003 14:25:13 -0500

From: "Jim McGuire" <jgm McGuire@snet.net>

To: <islandereast.comments@noaa.gov>

Dear Sirs:

I wish to go on record in oposition to the proposed Islander East pipeline from CT. to LI. NY. Please support the ruling by our CT DEP. Enough is enough! If more gas is needed on eastern LI use the existing corridor Iroquois has from Norwalk to Long Island. This body of water and wet lands are too valuable a resource to destroy for generations to come.

Respectfully yours,
James G. McGuire
5 Seaview Ave.
Branford, CT. 06405
(203) 481-0116

Subject: Stop the Pipeline

Resent-From: Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Fri, 14 Feb 2003 11:18:25 -0500

From: "Beardy" <beardyw@attbi.com>

To: <IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov>

Office for the General Counsel for Ocean Services

U.S. Department of Commerce

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Please support the ruling by our CT DEP (to help stop the Islander East pipeline project through Branford, CT and Long Island Sound).

Thank you,

Penny and Stephen Weinstein

West Point Road

Branford, CT 064

Subject: support for CT DEP ruling

Resent-From: Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Fri, 14 Feb 2003 11:03:38 -0500

From: "S. Kelly Avants" <kelly.avants@yale.edu>

To: islandereast.comments@noaa.gov

To whom it may concern:

As a resident of Branford, CT, I am strongly opposed to the Islander East Pipeline project, and have great concern for its impact on our unique coastal environment should it be allowed to proceed. Please support the CT DEP and prevent this from happening.

ruling by our s, Ph.D

Thank you. eet

S. Kelly Avant6405

Subject:

Resent-From: Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

Date: Fri, 24 Jan 2003 21:47:57 +0000

From: <sem2@att.net>

To: IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

Dear Sirs:

I am attaching a photo of the primary reason why you cannot allow the Islander East project to move forward. As you see in the picture, there is a Tilcon barge overturned in the route of the proposed pipeline. Tilcon barges typically are loaded with 100 tons of rock. In this case, it was due to a storm and human error for the accident, but just imagine the devastation if there were a gas pipeline sitting underneath this. The heavy equipment has been hindered with the ice and cold weather here, subsequently it has taken three weeks to try to unsuccessfully right this barge. As you know, Islander plans to have no shut off valves located along 22 miles of the pipeline going in Long Island Sound. The potential for devastation to people and property if this were to occur again with the pipeline under is overwhelming. The FEIS stated that there is a practicable alternative that is less environmentally damaging yet because this is the cheapest route, it is pursued by the applicant. Please do not use bad judgement and political favors to endanger our lives here in Branford. We expect that you will make the decision to uphold our local authorities advise on this matter. Overturned barges have been numerous in the past, with the most recent this month, the last two years ago. Testimony was made at the CSC that there were no barges overturning here. That is not fact. Please explore the facts and reach the conclusion that the state needs to regulate and oversee the health and well being of its people and natural resources.

Respectfully,

Becky Mars

Branford, CT

